

Robust HGCD with No Backup Steps

Niels Möller

Lysator academic computer society and KTH, Sweden

International Congress on Mathematical Software 2006

Comparison of gcd algorithms

Algorithm	Time (ms)	# lines	
<code>mpn_gcd</code>	1440	304	GMP-4.1.4 (Weber)
<code>mpn_rgcd</code>	87	1967	"Classical" Schönhage gcd
<code>mpn_bgcd</code>	93	1348	Rec. bin. (Stehlé/Zimmermann)
<code>mpn_sgcd</code>	100	760	1987 alg. (Schönhage/Weilert)
<code>mpn_ngcd</code>	85	733	New algorithm for GMP-5

Questions

Q Where does the complexity come from?

A Accurate computation of the quotient sequence.

Q How to avoid that?

A Stop bothering about quotients.

Outline

Background

- Algorithm comparison

- The half-gcd (HGCD) operation

- Subquadratic HGCD

Quotient based HGCD

- Jebelean's criterion

A robustness condition

Simple subquadratic HGCD

Conclusions

What is HGCD?

Definition (Reduction)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Positive integers a , b , α , and β
- ▶ Matrix M , non-negative integer elements
- ▶ $\det M = 1$

What is HGCD?

Definition (Reduction)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Positive integers a , b , α , and β
- ▶ Matrix M , non-negative integer elements
- ▶ $\det M = 1$

Definition (HGCD, "half gcd")

Input: a, b , of size n

Output: M , size of α , β and M elements $\approx n/2$

What is HGCD?

Definition (Reduction)

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

- ▶ Positive integers a , b , α , and β
- ▶ Matrix M , non-negative integer elements
- ▶ $\det M = 1$

Definition (HGCD, "half gcd")

Input: a, b , of size n

Output: M , size of α , β and M elements $\approx n/2$

Fact

For **any** reduction, $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(\alpha, \beta)$

Main idea of subquadratic HGCD

	n	p_1
A	⋮	
B	⋮	

$$M_1 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_1} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_1} B \rfloor)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow M_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$$

	$\approx 3n/4$	p_2
A	⋮	
B	⋮	

$$M_2 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_2} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_2} B \rfloor)$$

$$M \leftarrow M_1 \cdot M_2$$

HGCD algorithm

HGCD(A, B)

- 1 $n \leftarrow \#(A, B)$
- 2 Select $p_1 \approx n/2$
- 3 $M_1 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_1} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_1} B \rfloor)$
- 4 $(A; B) \leftarrow M_1^{-1}(A; B)$
- 5 Perform a small number of divisions or backup steps.
▷ A, B are now of size $\approx 3n/4$
- 6 Select $p_2 \approx n/4$
- 7 $M_2 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_2} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_2} B \rfloor)$
- 8 $(A; B) \leftarrow M_2^{-1}(A; B)$
- 9 Perform a small number of divisions or backup steps.
▷ A, B are now of size $\approx n/2$
- 10 $M \leftarrow M_1 \cdot M_2$
- 11 Return M

HGCD algorithm

HGCD(A, B)

- 1 $n \leftarrow \#(A, B)$
- 2 Select $p_1 \approx n/2$
- 3 $M_1 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_1} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_1} B \rfloor)$
- 4 $(A; B) \leftarrow M_1^{-1}(A; B)$
- 5 Perform a small number of divisions or **backup steps**.
▷ A, B are now of size $\approx 3n/4$
- 6 Select $p_2 \approx n/4$
- 7 $M_2 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_2} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_2} B \rfloor)$
- 8 $(A; B) \leftarrow M_2^{-1}(A; B)$
- 9 Perform a small number of divisions or **backup steps**.
▷ A, B are now of size $\approx n/2$
- 10 $M \leftarrow M_1 \cdot M_2$
- 11 Return M

1. Simplify Steps 5 and 9.
2. Eliminate multiplication in Step 8.

Definition (Quotient sequence)

For any positive integers a, b , **quotient sequence** q_j and **remainder sequence** r_j are defined by

$$r_0 = a$$

$$r_1 = b$$

$$q_j = \lfloor r_{j-1}/r_j \rfloor$$

$$r_{j+1} = r_{j-1} - q_j r_j$$

Fact

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} r_j \\ r_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} q_j & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem (Jebelean's criterion)

Let $a > b > 0$, with remainders r_j and r_{j+1} ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} u & u' \\ v & v' \end{pmatrix}}_{=M} \begin{pmatrix} r_j \\ r_{j+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $p > 0$ be arbitrary, $0 \leq A', B' < 2^p$, and define

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = 2^p \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A' \\ B' \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} R_j \\ R_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} = 2^p \begin{pmatrix} r_j \\ r_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} + M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} A' \\ B' \end{pmatrix}$$

For even j , the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) $r_{j+1} \geq v$ and $r_j - r_{j+1} \geq u + u'$
- (ii) For any p and any A', B' , the j th remainders of A and B are R_j and R_{j+1} .

Quotient based HGCD

A generalization of Lehmer's algorithm

Define $\text{HGCD}(a, b)$ to return an M satisfying Jebelean's criterion.

Example (Recursive computation)

$$(a; b) = (858\,824; 528\,747)$$

$$M_1 = (13, 8; 8, 5) \quad \text{No difficulties}$$

$$(c; d) = M_1^{-1}(a; b) = 16(4009; 194) + (0; 15)$$

$$M_2 = \text{HGCD}(4009, 194) = (21, 20; 1, 1)$$

$$M_2^{-1}(4009; 194) = (129; 65) \quad \text{Satisfies Jebelean}$$

$$M = M_1 \cdot M_2 = (281, 268; 173, 165)$$

$$M^{-1}(a; b) = (1764; 1355) \quad \text{Violates Jebelean}$$

Backup step

Example (Fixing M)

$$(a; b) = (858\,824; 528\,747)$$

$$M = M_1 \cdot M_2 = (281, 268; 173, 165)$$

$$M^{-1}(a; b) = (1764; 1355) \quad \text{Violates Jebelean}$$

M corresponds to quotients $1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 20, 1$.

E.g., $(A; B) = 8(a; b) + (1; 7)$ has quotient sequence starting with $1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 20, 2$.

Backup step

Example (Fixing M)

$$(a; b) = (858\,824; 528\,747)$$

$$M = M_1 \cdot M_2 = (281, 268; 173, 165)$$

$$M^{-1}(a; b) = (1764; 1355) \quad \text{Violates Jebelean}$$

M corresponds to quotients 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 20, **1**.

E.g., $(A; B) = 8(a; b) + (1; 7)$ has quotient sequence starting with 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 20, **2**.

Conclusion

- ▶ The quotients **are** correct for $(a; b)$, but **not robust enough**.
- ▶ Must drop final quotient before returning $\text{HGCD}(A, B)$.

A robustness condition

Definition (Robust reduction)

A reduction M of $(a; b)$ is robust iff

$$M^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} > 0$$

for all “small” $(x; y)$. More precisely, for all $(x; y) \in S$, where

$$S = \{(x; y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x| < 2, |y| < 2, |x - y| < 2\} \quad (1)$$

A robustness condition

Definition (Robust reduction)

A reduction M of $(a; b)$ is robust iff

$$M^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} > 0$$

for all “small” $(x; y)$. More precisely, for all $(x; y) \in S$, where

$$S = \{(x; y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x| < 2, |y| < 2, |x - y| < 2\} \quad (1)$$

Theorem

The reduction

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} u & u' \\ v & v' \end{pmatrix}}_{=M} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

is **robust** iff $\alpha \geq 2 \max(u', v')$ and $\beta \geq 2 \max(u, v)$

Sufficient conditions

Corollary

If $\min(\alpha, \beta) > 2 \max M$, then M is robust.

Lemma (Strong robustness)

Let $n = \#(a, b)$ denote the bitsize of the larger of a and b . If $\# \min(\alpha, \beta) > \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, then M is robust.

Theorem (Schönhage/Weilert reduction)

For arbitrary $a, b > 0$, let $n = \#(a, b)$ and $s = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$. There exists a unique strongly robust M such that $\# \min(\alpha, \beta) > s$ and $\#|\alpha - \beta| \leq s$.

HGCD with strong robustness

HGCD(A, B)

- 1 $n \leftarrow \#(A, B)$
- 2 $s \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$
- 3 $p_1 \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$
- 4 $M_1 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_1} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_1} B \rfloor)$
- 5 $(C; D) \leftarrow M_1^{-1}(A; B) \triangleright \#|C - D| \approx 3n/4$
- 6 One subtraction and one division step on $(C; D)$. Update M_1 .
- 7 $p_2 \leftarrow 2s - \#(C, D) + 1$
- 8 $M_2 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_2} C \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_2} D \rfloor)$
- 9 **return** $M_1 \cdot M_2$

- ▶ Uses **strong robustness**
- ▶ Returns with $\#|\alpha - \beta| \leq s + 2k$, where k is the recursion depth.
- ▶ To compute Schönhage/Weilert reduction, need at most four additional division steps before returning.

HGCD with plain robustness

HGCD(A, B)

- 1 $n \leftarrow \#(A, B)$
- 2 $s \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$
- 3 $p_1 \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$
- 4 $M_1 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_1} A \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_1} B \rfloor)$
- 5 $(C; D) \leftarrow M_1^{-1}(A; B) \triangleright \#|C - D| \approx 3n/4$
- 6 One subtraction and one division step on $(C; D)$. Update M_1 .
- 7 $p_2 \leftarrow \#M_1 + 2$
- 8 $M_2 \leftarrow \text{HGCD}(\lfloor 2^{-p_2} C \rfloor, \lfloor 2^{-p_2} D \rfloor)$
- 9 **return** $M_1 \cdot M_2$

$$M^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\} = 2^{p_2} M_2^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \delta c \\ \delta d \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{disturbance} \in \mathcal{S}} + 2^{-p_2} M_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Conclusions

Conclusions

- ▶ HGCD in terms of correct quotients \implies complexity.
- ▶ Reduction matrices are important, quotients are not.
- ▶ “Robust reduction” is a powerful notion in analysis and algorithm design.
- ▶ Can use either the robustness condition, or Schönhage/Weilert’s condition on bitsizes.

Further work

Further analysis and experiments on the HGCD algorithm using plain robustness.